

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,794	11/26/2003	Chung-Shuan Li	CU-3465 RJS	7227
26530	7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			CLARKE, SARA SACHIE	
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60604			3749	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VV		
	10/722,794	LI, CHUNG-SHUAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sara Clarke	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely I the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) 8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	·	(DTO 440)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/722,794

Art Unit 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mashburn et al. (US 4788962) in view of Kojima et al. (US 4874174).

Mashburn et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the retaining clip including two operating segments, which cross each other.

According to applicant's specification, one of the particular problems with which the inventor was concerned was the difficulty of insertion of insertion of the second tube into the first tube. See page 2, lines 12-16. Kojima et al. teaches the use of a retaining clip 130 having two operating segments 137, which cross each other. According to Kojima et al., the configuration taught by Kojima et al. allows for very easy fastening of the tubes. See column 2. Since Kojima et al. teaches solving the same problem as applicant, Kojima et al. is reasonably pertinent to one of the particular problems with which the applicant was concerned. Thus, Kojima et al. is analogous prior art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the cooking assembly of Mashburn et al. with the retaining clip taught by Kojima et al. to provide for very easy fastening of the tubes

Application/Control Number: 10/722,794

Art Unit 3749

without the need for a tool.

Regarding claim 5, Kojima et al. discloses the second coupling member having a tapered end instead of a rounded end. See element 24. It has been held that a change in shape, absent convincing evidence that the particular shape is significant, would have been a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious. See In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). In the current case, the only evidence that this change in shape might be significant is the claim language itself and applicant's disclosure, which both state that the reason for the particular shape is to facilitate insertion through the clamping segments 52 of the retaining clip 5. However, the tapered shape of Kojima et al. also accomplishes the same function. See column 3, lines 54-63. Since both shapes accomplish the same function in the same manner, applicant's evidence is not found to be convincing. Thus, to have modified the shape of tube end of the combination of Mashburn et al. and Kojima et al. to be rounded instead of tapered would have been a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is allowable.

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hefling et al. (US 5370527), Home (US 4932392), and Koziol

Application/Control Number: 10/722,794

Art Unit 3749

arrangements.

(US 4478205) disclose various barbecues having various connection arrangements.

Brewer (US 4009896) and Ferguson et al. (US 3314696) disclose various connection

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Clarke whose phone number is 703-308-1388. The examiner

normally can be reached Mon-Fri, 8:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached at 703-308-1935. The fax number for the

organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Status information for an application is available from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications is

available from Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to Private PAIR, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara Clarke

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

September 7, 2004